

Family Name	Stott
Given Name	Judith
Person ID	1286550
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Stott
Given Name	Judith
Person ID	1286550
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I believe proposal is unsound and fails to comply with PfE Objectives 7 and 8 because the number of houses will be too great for the area proposed for building. The properties which it states in the document will be affordable housing for all. The proposed houses will be unaffordable for most Rochdale families. Whilst the green space which is at present there, may encourage out of towners to buy, this may not seem as attractive once a large estate is built and the surrounding green space removed. There are too many houses to support the already busy surrounding roads. Cars will need to be used to get anywhere as bus links are poor; the Metro and the local station are both 6 km away. Brownfield sites which are nearer to already good transport links should be included in proposals for new houses. 450 houses x2 cars is too many for the proposed road infrastructure and will cause dangerous levels of Co2 emissions.</p> <p>The fields are used by many children at weekends for sport and other activities. During the lockdown, many people used the area for their daily exercise and still use these old country paths today. I have used them myself and will be very sad to see this beautiful countryside taken away. The peace and quiet is wonderful.</p> <p>The proposal for schools is not consistent with PfE Objective 9. Schools in the area are already full so the choice may be limited to other schools out of their catchment area. This will involve more travel by car this is not consistent with national policy who wish children to be able to walk to their school of choice. If these schools are made to take more children than they have space this will be detrimental to all pupils' education.</p> <p>As a resident of the area it is extremely difficult to get an appointment with the local doctors. We are constantly reminded that the local practice is already the largest in the borough with not enough funding to support the patients they already have, so how can we take on more patients from these houses.</p>

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.

I would like to see the JPA 19Bamford /Norden completely removed from the PfE as it is unjustified and not consistent with current national policy. i have stated my reasons in the above statement.